

A Field Guide to DSL Design

Tomer Gabel, Wix Jfokus, January 2015



DSLs

- Designed for specific application domains
- Designers can make more assumptions
- This enables optimized syntax for:
 - Conciseness
 - Correctness
 - Readability



External DSL

Standalone specification and grammar

Explicit library/tool support (lexer, parser)

Explicit lifecycle (build phase or runtime)



Data querying with <u>SQL</u>:

```
SELECT s1.article, s1.dealer, s1.price
FROM shop s1
LEFT JOIN shop s2
  ON s1.article = s2.article
  AND s1.price < s2.price
WHERE s2.article IS NULL;</pre>
```



Text formatting with Markdown:

```
Download
-----

[Markdown 1.0.1][dl] (18 KB) -- 17 Dec 2004

[dl]: http://daringfireball.net/projects/downloads/Markdown_1.0.1.zip
```



Internal DSL

- Extends some host language (Scala)
- Exploits the host to add new syntax
 - Within the confines of the host
 - Cannot add new syntactic constructs
 - -Usage is valid code in the host language
- Lifecycle is managed by the host



• Testing with *ScalaTest*:

```
"Empty combinator" should {
 "successfully validate an empty sequence" in {
   val left = Seq.empty[ String ]
   val validator = new Empty[ Seq[ String ] ]
   validator( left ) should be( aSuccess )
  "render a correct rule violation" in {
   val left = Some( "content" )
   val validator = new Empty[ Option[ String ] ]
   validator( left ) should failWith( "must be empty" )
```



• Request routing with *Scalatra*:

```
get("/guess/:who") {
   params("who") match {
     case "Frank" => "You got me!"
     case _ => pass()
   }
}
```

JSON AST construction with Play:

```
Json.obj( "users" -> Json.arr(
 Json.obj( "name" -> "bob",
            "age" -> 31,
            "email" -> "bob@gmail.com" ),
  Json.obj( "name" -> "kiki",
            "age" -> 25,
            "email" -> JsNull )
```





Specificity

- Identify your actors
- What actions can they take?
- What objects do they act on?
- What are the *consequences* of these actions?



Example: Assertions

Actor

Caller has a piece of data

Object

Object

And an assumption on its shape

Action

Caller asserts that the assumption holds

• If not, an exception is thrown

Consequence



Audience

- Know your users
- Which actor do they represent?
- What is the desired consequence?
- How would they express this desire?



Example: Assertions

- Only one actor and consequence
- But how to best express this desire?
- Start with the user's perspective:
 - -We already have some data
 - -We need a way to define assumptions
 - And concise syntax for assertions



Articulation

- Choose a vocabulary:
 - Nouns describe objects
 - Adjectives qualify objects
 - Verbs describe actions
 - Adverbs qualify actions

Example: Assertions

An assertion is a sentence:

"list should be empty"

Object (noun)

Action (verb)

Object (adjective)

Actor is implied



Example: Assertions

- Assumptions (simple)
 - empty
 - null
 - true
 - false
 - left
 - right
 - defined
 - completed
 - - ...

- Assumptions
 (parameterized)
 - equalTo
 - startWith
 - endWith
 - contain
 - matchRegex
- Modifiers (adverbs)
 - not



Mode

- Imperative DSLs evaluate eagerly
- DSL expressions have effects
- Sometimes called "shallow embedding"
- Examples:
 - Ant tasks
 - Assertions



Mode

- Prescriptive DSLs are pure and lazy
- Results are execution plans
- Prescribe behavior to subsequent logic
- Also called "deep embedding"
- Examples:
 - Request routing
 - Query languages



Mode

- Prescriptive DSLs are more powerful
 - Result and evaluation can be optimized
 - Evaluation can be deferred freely
- But are more complex to get right
 - Results require a domain model
 - Evaluation requires separate logic





Infix Notation

- Also known as "dot free syntax"
- Basic building block in fluent DSLs
- Applies to arity-1 function applications

object.method(parameter)

object method parameter



Chaining must be done with care



This is what we expect.



Chaining must be done with care



- Infix notation is bloody literal!
- What is the type of be?
 - Probably not what you meant



- Workaround 1: Contraction
 - → list *shouldBe* empty
- Workaround 2: Parentheses
 - list should be empty)
- There is no "right" answer
 - It's an aesthetic preference



Chaining must be done with care

list should be empty

Object

Method

Parameter



Chaining must be done with care

list should be empty 2

Object

Method

Parameter

- Must have odd number of participants
- This expression is illegal in Scala!
 - (Unless you use postfix operators. Don't.)



Implicit Classes

The entry point into your DSL

list shouldBe empty

Known a-priori

Extension Method

- The extended type is domain-specific
- Prefer value classes for performance
- Also used to lift values into your domain





WIX

- An assertion is a sentence with the shape: data shouldBe predicate
- We first need a predicate definition:

```
trait Predicate[-T] {
  def test: T ⇒ Boolean
  def failure: String
}
```



- We next need an entry point into our DSL
- Data is the only known entity
- We'll need to extend it:

```
implicit class EntryPoint[T](data: T) {
  def shouldBe(predicate: Predicate[T]) = ???
}
```



- This is an imperative DSL
- Assertion failure has consequences
- In our case, an exception is thrown:



- We can start implementing predicates:
 Nil shouldBe empty
- A generic solution is readily apparent:

```
def empty[T <: GenTraversableOnce[_]] =
  new Predicate[T] {
    def test: T ⇒ Boolean = _.isEmpty
    def failure = "is not empty"
  }</pre>
```





Keywords

What about booleans?

```
(3*4>10) shouldBe true
```

- Booleans are reserved keywords
 - Can't provide a def
 - Can't provide an object
- Can we support this syntax?



Keywords

Workaround: Lift via an implicit class

```
implicit class BooleanPredicate(b: Boolean)
  extends Predicate[Boolean] {

  def test: Boolean ⇒ Boolean = _ == b
   def failure = s"is not $b"
}
```



Keywords

We have a similar issue with null:

```
val ref: String = null
ref shouldBe null
```

- We can't lift null implicitly, because:
 - def shouldBe(predicate: Predicate[T]): Unit
- Null is bottom type, extends Predicate[T]
- Implicit search does not take place!



Keywords

- Workaround: Specific method overload
 - Should only apply when T is a reference type



Parameterization

- What about parameterized predicates?
 3*4 shouldBe equalTo 12
- The equality predicate is simple enough:

```
def equalTo[T](rhs: T) = new Predicate[T] {
  def test: T ⇒ Boolean = _ == rhs
  def failure = s"is not equal to $rhs"
}
```

But we have an even number of parts!



Parameterization

- Workaround: Parentheses
 3*4 shouldBe equalTo(12)
- There is no way* to avoid this entirely
 - Some sentences are shorter
 - Impossible to guarantee the odd part rule

* ... that I know of



Assumption	Example
startWith	"Jfokus" should startWith("J")
endWith	"Jfokus" should endWith("fokus")
contain	List(1, 2, 3) should contain(2)
matchRegex	"Jfokus" should matchRegex("Jf.*")

Can you spot the difference?



- We must support predicate families:
 - Simple modal form:

```
List(1, 2, 3) should contain(2)
```

– Compound subjunctive form:

```
3*4 shouldBe equalTo(12)
```

In other words, we need another verb



A simple solution:



 A simple solution: implicit class EntryPoint private def test(pri]): Unit = require(predicate ailure}") Predicate[T]): Unit = def shoul test(pred ce: Predicate[T]): Unit = def should(p test(predic



- Incorrect grammar is legal:
 List(1, 2, 3) shouldBe contain(2)
- We lack differentiation between families
- First, define adequate base traits:

```
trait ModalPredicate[-T] extends Predicate[T]
trait CompoundPredicate[-T] extends Predicate[T]
```



Next, modify the verbs accordingly:

```
def should(predicate: ModalPredicate[T])...
def shouldBe(predicate: CompoundPredicate[T])...
```

- We must also enforce the decision:
 - Make the base trait Predicate[T] sealed
 - Move it to a separate compilation unit
- Finally, modify all predicates to comply



- Negation ("not" adverb) is not just syntax
 - Predicate must support negation
 - Negative messages must be available
- We must extend the model
- But first we must decide on the grammar



Compound?

```
- List(1, 2, 3) shouldNotBe empty
- List(1, 2, 3) shouldBe not(empty)
- List(1, 2, 3) shouldNot be(empty)
```

Modal?

- "Programmers" shouldNot startWith("Java")
- "Programmers" should not(startWith("Java"))
- Again, an aesthetic choice



Predicate[T] extended with negation:

```
sealed trait Predicate[-T] {
  def test: T ⇒ Boolean
                                  Negative messages
  def failure: String
  def failureNeg: String
  type Self[-T] <: Predicate[T]</pre>
  def negate: Self[T]
              Generic negation
```



Adding negation support to each family:

```
trait ModalPredicate[-T]
  extends Predicate[T] { self ⇒
 type Self[-T] = ModalPredicate[T]
  def negate = new ModalPredicate[T] {
    def test = self.test andThen { ! }
    def failure = self.failureNeg
    def failureNeg = self.failure
```



Finally, add the not modifier (adverb):

```
def not[T](pred: Predicate[T]): pred.Self[T] =
  pred.negate
```

Et voilà:

```
List(1, 2, 3) shouldBe not(empty)
"Programmers" should not(startWith("Java"))
```





- tomer@tomergabel.com
- @tomerg
- in http://il.linkedin.com/in/tomergabel
 Sample code at:
- http://tinyurl.com/scala-dsl-guide

Thank you for listening

WE'RE DONE HERE!

