Protecting your organization against attacks via the build system

Louis Jacomet - Gradle Inc.

@ljacomet



Louis Jacomet

Gradle, Inc.

Lead Software Engineer

Dependency Management / JVM Team at Gradle





Supply chain attacks are no longer an hypothesis

A supply-chain attack is an indirect attack which **targets the tools**, automatic software updates or supply chain in general, in order to introduce malicious code or dependencies into existing software, **without the developers being aware**.

The consequence of those attacks may be catastrophic as they are easily unnoticed and usually **scale out** because of the end targets: mobile applications for example.

There's evidence of such attacks in the wild. Some are **suspected to be issued from Nation State Actors**.



CCleaner/Asus

- Hacked into (auto) update systems
- Tool update installed a backdoor (ShadowPad) on machines
- Performed a follow-up attack against high value targets

https://www.wired.com/story/inside-the-unnerving-supply-chain-attack-that-corrupted-ccleaner/





MS Tools attack

- Initial pattern similar to Asus / CCleaner, pointing to same attackers
- Microsoft developer tools were maliciously modified
- Game companies used the compromised dev tools to sign games
- Properly signed games are released with an embedded backdoor

https://www.wired.com/story/supply-chain-hackers-videogames-asus-ccleaner/#



Homebrew - 30 minutes from nobody to a commit

- Case study by Eric Holmes in 2018
- Found a leaked GitHub key on CI
- Committed to the main repo
- Profit Responsible disclosure and get the project protected

https://medium.com/@vesirin/how-i-gained-commit-access-to-homebrew-in-30-minutes-2ae314df03ab







23% of all security advisories against JS projects refer to intentionally malicious packages

Jonathan Leitschuh, analyzing Npmjs advisories





"Maintainers of the RubyGems package repository have yanked **18 malicious versions of 11 Ruby libraries** that contained a backdoor mechanism and were caught inserting **code that launched hidden cryptocurrency mining operations** inside other people's Ruby projects.

https://www.zdnet.com/article/backdoor-code-found-in-11-ruby-libraries/





"When installing an average npm package, a user implicitly **trusts around 80 other packages** due to transitive dependencies.

https://blog.acolyer.org/2019/09/30/small-world-with-high-risks/



Real world dependency graph (Java)





A confusing dependency

- Android library for audio recording
 - Thousands of stars on GitHub
 - Available through JitPack
- Version that ends up on the app is different
 - Executed code does not match the code on GitHub
 - Attempts network calls, sharing phone model information
- Jitpack version was shadowed by another deploy to JCenter

https://blog.autsoft.hu/a-confusing-dependency/







"hackers don't attack individual devices or networks directly, but rather the companies that distribute the code used by their targets

https://www.wired.com/story/supply-chain-hackers-videogames-asus-ccleaner/#



Application building: Potential attack vectors



- New code
- Cl infrastructure
- Dependencies
- Remote repositories
- Plugins (via plugin portal or Maven Central)
- Gradle/Maven distribution (and wrapper)
- Local file system
- Build cache / external services



New code and CI infrastructure

 \sim



How to: compromise CI infrastructure

7 successful checks	Hide all checks
✓ ✔ CI Status — master branch success since 2019-10-28T15:24:33.392Z	Details
Compile All (Quick Feedback - Linux Only) — TeamCity build finished	Details
✓ 🟺 DCO Successful in 2s — DCO	Details
✓ Performance Regression Test Coordinator - Linux (Ready for Merge) — 1	Te Details
 Quick Feedback (Trigger) (Check) — TeamCity build finished 	Details
 Quick Feedback - Linux Only (Trigger) (Check) — TeamCity build finished 	Details
Sanity Check (Quick Feedback - Linux Only) — TeamCity build finished	Details

Create a pull request

- Automatically build on CI (private or public farm)
- Bitcoin all the things!



Variant: Compromising OSS developer machines (example)

01

Submit a PR with compromised code (direct code, upgrade a **plugin** in the build, introduce a new one, upgrade the wrapper, edit a build script, ...)

02

Developer checks out the code locally and runs test

03 Profit!



- - First, look at code, all files
 - Don't try directly on CI
 - Or even locally!
 - · Be particularly picky on "obfuscated" upgrades (plugin versions, ...)



Pull request acceptance

- Use CLAs (reduces the risks)
- Perform light background verification of the author
- Review first and when you think it's ok
 - · Check out the code
 - Test it
- If on CI, use isolated, disposable build agents



Signing your commits

Git lets anyone use anyone's identity Signing proves your identity

Important for legal too (who contributed what)

Commits on Oct 28, 2019	
Merge pull request #11154 from gradle/wolfs/incremental/test-nested-d	Verified 😥 cea987d
Merge branch 'release' ♥ ijohannes committed 2 hours ago ✓	Verified 🔁 2ee4fde 🔇
Increase timeout in integration test	Verified 😢 ecbc992
Set 'org.gradle.workers.max' to 'maxParallelForks' on CI (#11158)	Verified C4e9599 (>
Remove broken import f johannes committed 3 hours ago	Verified 11a6ce6 <>
Remove unused utility test configurations (#11155)	Verified 😢 8e21b80
Add test for nested domain object containers as input	Verified 🔒 4f0a3a1
Merge branch 'release'	Verified 🔁 6798612
Increase integration test timeout	Verified 54ab5c1 <>



Improving CI security

Disposable containers are a good idea for security, however:

- They are **bad for performance** (extra downloads, no Gradle daemon, build bootstrapping, ...)
- A single **vulnerability** in a container may be enough to **gain access to the host**



Mitigating performance issues





Mitigating performance issues



Needs secure connection between nodes.

Doesn't deal with dependency downloads

The later can be handled by **copying or sharing** a dependency cache (Gradle 6.1 and 6.2)



Dependencies, plugins and repositories





Our Commons: Maven Central / JCenter

Contains millions of artifacts, mostly published as **convenience binaries**, together with:

- MD5 checksums → unsafe for a while
- SHA1 checksums → really no longer safe
- ASC signatures \rightarrow **not always safe**



Checksums

- A checksum guarantees the **integrity** of the artifact (if it's safe...)
- Repository checksums may be compromised too!
 - Use checksums from a different source (website)
 - Publish checksums separately on a different machine!
- Gradle 6 publishes SHA256 and SHA512





There are **broken checksums** on Maven Central. JCenter computes SHA1/MD5 **on demand**.



Signatures

- A signature guarantees the **origin** of the artifact (if private key didn't leak)
- Commonly uses PGP
- Harder for casual developers to check

But:

- Keys sometimes lost
- Malicious authors can sign too
- ASC files use checksums too!



Verifying dependencies with Gradle

<pre>k?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?></pre>
<verification-metadata <="" td="" xmlns="https://schema.gradle.org/dependency-verification"></verification-metadata>
<pre>xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"</pre>
xsi:schemaLocation="https://schema.gradle.org/dependency-verification https://schema.gradle.org/dependency-
<configuration></configuration>
<verify-metadata>false</verify-metadata>
<pre><verify-signatures>true</verify-signatures></pre>
<trusted-artifacts></trusted-artifacts>
<pre><trust group="gradle" name="gradle"></trust></pre>
<pre><trust group="org.gradle" name="gradle-tooling-api"></trust></pre>
<pre><trust group="net[.]rubygrapefruit" name="native-platform.*" regex="true" version=".*snapshot.*"></trust></pre>
<pre><trust file=".*-javadoc[.]jar" regex="true"></trust></pre>
<trust file=".*-sources[.]jar" regex="true"></trust>
<ignored-keys></ignored-keys>
<pre><ignored-key id="74dafdfd6dae2441" reason="Key couldn't be downloaded from any key server"></ignored-key></pre>
<trusted-keys></trusted-keys>
<trusted-key group="org.openjdk.jmh" id="019082bc00e0324e2aef4cf00d3b328562a119a7"></trusted-key>
<trusted-key group="org.apache.httpcomponents" id="0785b3eff60b1b1bea94e0bb7c25280eae63ebe5"></trusted-key>
<trusted-key group="org.awaitility" id="07e20f0103d9dfc697c490d0368557390486f2c5"></trusted-key>
<trusted-key group="org.bouncycastle" id="08f0aab4d0cla4bdde340765b341ddb020fcb6ab"></trusted-key>
<trusted-key group="^com[.]github[.]shyiko(\$ ([.].*))" id="160a7a9cf46221a56b06ad64461a804f2609fd89" regex="true"></trusted-key>
<trusted-key group="org.checkerframework" id="19beab2d799c020f17c69126b16698a4adf4d638" name="checker-qual"></trusted-key>
<trusted-key group="org.scala-lang" id="lfa868a348719e88b6d0de24c03ef1d7d692bcff"></trusted-key>
<trusted-key group="^com[.]google(\$ ([.].*))" id="21f0942275ea159a501d3753288520088a47b995" regex="true"></trusted-key>
<trusted-key id="2db4flef0fa76lecc4ea935c86fdc7e2a11262cb"></trusted-key>
<trusting group="commons-codec"></trusting>
<pre><trusting group="org.apache.commons"></trusting></pre>
<pre></pre>

Supported in Gradle core -Requires Gradle 6.2

- Can check plugins in addition to regular dependencies
- Supports checking metadata pom.xml, .module, ...
- Can be checksum or signature based
- Ability to generate first iteration of the file



Verification in Maven

Requires an external plugin

• Only cover dependencies, not plugins

Doesn't support checking metadata pom.xml, .module, ...



Inconsistent repositories

Different repositories may contain different **artifacts** or **metadata** for a single release!

e.g. org.eclipse.core.runtime:3.12.0 has different dependency versions between Central and JCenter!



Malicious repositories

Bintray had a vulnerability which **allowed any user to publish dependencies on any GAV coordinates**, shadowing any real dependency!

Was used to abuse Android apps.



Man In The Middle Attack

25% of Maven Central downloads are still using HTTP

Gradle deprecates HTTP downloads and decommissions HTTP-based services (**denied** on January 15th, 2020)





Also look at https://github.com/spring-io/nohttp

GitHub package registry

GitHub offers **custom packages publications**, effectively allowing anyone to publish any module on a **GitHub Maven repository**.

Trusting the source becomes extremely important!

(Currently requires authenticated access)



Malicious repositories (Maven)

Maven uses the **declared repositories** of all dependencies during resolution.

Any repository can use the **id of an existing one** (try: central)

As a consequence it's **easy** to introduce malicious dependencies in any build!



Repository filtering with Gradle

```
repositories {
 jcenter {
    content {
      includeGroup("junit")
      includeGroup("com.google.guava")
 maven {
    name = "myCompanyRepo"
    content {
      includeGroupByRegex("com\\.mycompany\\..*")
```

Know where your dependencies come from - Precisely tell Gradle what repository contains what dependency

Avoid leaking details about your organization - Avoids pinging external repositories for your internal coordinates!

Avoids ordering issues -Repositories can be listed in any order if they are mutually exclusive

Improves performance - No unnecessary lookups



Dealing with vulnerable dependencies

Dependency lifecycle **doesn't end at publication**:

- Bugs are discovered
- Vulnerabilities are discovered
- Bad metadata is published


Using rich versions in Gradle

```
dependencies {
implementation("org.apache.commons:commons-compress") {
   version {
     strictly("[1.0, 2.0[")
     prefer("1.19")
     reject("1.15", "1.16", "1.17", "1.18")
   because("Versions 1.15-1.18 have a CVE")
```

Can be added dynamically

Rich versions - Allows more accurate model of why a dependency is needed

• Graph wide - Opinions of transitive dependencies matter

Allows enriching the graph with new constraints - Consumers can tell something about transitives

• Component metadata rules - For amending existing metadata



Tooling

 \bigcirc

7

 \bigtriangleup



Gradle wrapper checksum verification

Gradle distribution and wrapper JAR checksum reference

This page lists the SHA-256 checksums for all Gradle distributions and *gradle-wrapper.jar* files for your reference. See how to verify downloaded Gradle distributions and wrapper JARs in the Gradle documentation.

🗞 v5.6.3

Binary-only (-bin) ZIP Checksum: 60a6d8f687e3e7a4bc901cc6bc3db190efae0f02f0cc697e323e0f9336f224a3 Complete (-all) ZIP Checksum: 342f8e75a8879fa9192163fa8d932b9f6383ea00c1918a478f0f51e11e004b60 Wrapper JAR Checksum: 3dc39ad650d40f6c029bd8ff605c6d95865d657dbfdeacdb079db0ddfffedf9f

In gradle-wrapper.properties:

distributionSha256Sum=371cb9fbebbe9880d147f59bab36d61eee122854ef8c9ee1ecf12b82368bcf10

- Gradle wrapper will verify distribution checksums - On every invocation
- But you need to manually check the wrapper checksum itself - To avoid a compromised wrapper!
- Expected checksum is checked in - Using a compromised distribution requires access to the source repository



Gradle wrapper GitHub action



Duild-test passing

Gradle Wrapper Validation Action

This action validates the checksums of Gradle Wrapper JAR files present in the source tree and fails if unknown Gradle Wrapper JAR files are found.

The Gradle Wrapper Problem in Open Source

The gradle-wrapper.jar is a binary blob of executable code that is checked into nearly 2.8 Million GitHub Repositories.

Searching across GitHub you can find many pull requests (PRs) with helpful titles like 'Update to Gradle xxx'. Many of these PRs are contributed by individuals outside of the organization maintaining the project.

Many maintainers are incredibly grateful for these kinds of contributions as it takes an item off of their backlog. We assume that most maintainers do not consider the security implications of accepting the Gradle Wrapper binary from external contributors. There is a certain amount of blind trust open source maintainers have. Further compounding the issue is that maintainers are most often greeted in these PRs with a diff to the gradle-wrapper.jar that looks like this. GitHub action - To be added to your workflow

Validates the wrapper JAR checksum itself - To avoid a compromised wrapper!



A word about 3rd-party distributions

- Gradle "official" Docker image is **not** endorsed by Gradle
- Debian and other distributions are **not** official Gradle releases
 - They use different dependencies
 - They build their own!
 - But they pretend to be Gradle (same version number)
- Please always prefer official releases (and Gradle wrapper if possible!)



Abusing external services

Using Gradle build cache as an *example*:

- Requires **write access** to the cache (so compromised machine or malicious employee)
- Write **custom client** to write malicious output to the cache for a known key (SHA1)
- Clients will download compromised entries





Reproducible builds

Any release should be reproducible byte to byte

In practice many things can go wrong:

- Dynamic dependencies (ranges, 1.+, latest, ...)
- Undeclared inputs
- Timestamps/debug symbols/absolute paths/...
- Dependencies removed from remote repositories
- Compiler bugs
- etc



Different approaches to reproducibility

The Apache Software Foundation[™] way:

- Only **sources** matter
- Binaries (zip, jar, ...) on Central or dist.apache.org are convenience
- Trusting requires you to build from sources

Bootstrapping problem: what about **transitive dependencies**?



Different approaches to reproducibility

The *Google* way:

- Only sources matter
- No binaries, ever
- Single mono-repository

What about reuse?



We have to make compromises

If multiple organizations can build the same binaries, byte to byte, from the same sources:

- Reinforces trust
- Improves build quality
- Makes it harder to compromise

A set of best practices:

See https://reproducible-builds.org/

- Dependency locking Make sure you can reuse the same versions later
- Checksum verification Binaries will not be compromised
- Reproducible archives Avoid timestamps, consistent ordering of archive entries, ...





Thank you!

Gradle: https://www.gradle.org

@ljacomet



 \bigcirc

References

- Small world with high risks: a study of security threats in the npm ecosystem
- Want to take over the Java ecosystem? All you need is a MITM!
- The NPM package that walked away with all your passwords
- <u>A Post-Mortem of the Malicious event-stream backdoor</u>
- Backdoor code found in 11 Ruby libraries
- ESlint Postmortem for Malicious Packages Published on July 12th, 2018
- Inside the Unnerving CCleaner Supply Chain Attack
- <u>https://blog.autsoft.hu/a-confusing-dependency/</u>





Developer Productivity Engineering By Hans Dockter

Wed. 5th at 13:00 in Room C4

